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## History

- Last revisions happened in 2015.
- Key consideration at that time was balancing members from an extreme variance. Ranged from $25 \%$ above to $29 \%$ below even distribution.
- Keep to a rivers and roads approach to the extent allowed.
- Keep within a $10 \%$ variance.


## Results of Option Adopted by Board

| Active Membership Count as of July 7, 2015 <br> Option 1A Map |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Voting District | \# of Members | Even Distribution <br> of Members | Variance from <br> Even <br> Distribution | \% Variance from <br> Even <br> Distribution |
| 1 | 32,322 | 32,664 | $(342)$ | $-1.05 \%$ |
| 2 | 33,864 | 32,664 | 1,200 | $3.67 \%$ |
| 3 | 31,903 | 32,664 | $(761)$ | $-2.33 \%$ |
| 4 | 32,982 | 32,664 | 318 | $0.97 \%$ |
| 5 | 31,915 | 32,664 | $(749)$ | $-2.29 \%$ |
|  | 32,811 | 32,664 | 147 | $0.45 \%$ |
| Unassigned | 32,849 |  | 32,664 | 185 |



## HOW DID THE VARIANCE HOLD UP? JUNE 1, 2018 Variance

| Voting District | \# of Members | Even Distribution <br> Of Members | Variance from <br> Even <br> Distribution | \% Variance from <br> Even |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 4 , 9 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 1 4 0 )}$ |

## Key Considerations for 2018 Rebalancing

- Move back within the $10 \%$ Variance (Range of 32,470 to 39,685 )
- Maintain Integrity of Current Districts (small but impactful changes)
- Maintain rivers and roads approach where possible.
- Consider communities of interest that were split with 2015 sweeping changes or prior.
- Allow for growth in Districts lagging behind.


## What did we have to work with?

- Atlas Maps
- PEC Maps showing meter distribution that could be taken down to nearly street level. Newly platted developments were included.
- Polygons overlaying Google Earth to allow use of landmarks and roads that might not appear on other maps.



## What Kind of Changes?





## RESULTS OF PROPOSED CHANGES JUNE 1, 2018 Variance



## COMPARISON <br> June 1 Variance from 36,077 Even Distribution

| Voting District | Number of <br> Members Before <br> Adjustments | \% Variance from <br> 36077 | Number of <br> Members After <br> Adjustments | \% Variance from <br> 36077 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 4 , 9 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 . 1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{+ . 2 1 \%}$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{4 1 , 1 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 4 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{- . 9 4 \%}$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 3 , 0 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 4 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 . 0 1 \%}$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3 6 , 2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{+ . 4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{+ . 4 2 \%}$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{3 4 , 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 . 7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 5 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 4 4 \%}$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{3 5 , 7 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{- . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 2 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{+ . 5 1 \%}$ |
| 7 | $\mathbf{3 7 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{+ 3 . 5 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{+ . 2 1 \%}$ |



